

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/538,201	Applicant(s) BARSKE ET AL.	
	Examiner SANDRA WEGERT	Art Unit 1646	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowable

(1) SANDRA WEGERT.

(3) _____.

(2) Amy DeCloux.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 February 2010

Time: 1 Hour

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

All pending. Also discussed enablement of antibodies when only 3 CDR's are specified.

Claims discussed:

All, including withdrawn claims. Applicant was reminded that withdrawn claims may be prosecuted in a divisional application.

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed claim amendments that would make the claims allowable. For example, claims based on the language of claim 21 are allowable because 6 CDR's are specified.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Sandra Wegert/ 2/17/10

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)